# **THE DEPENDENCE OF SELECTED TECHNICAL AND COMBUSTION CHARACTERISTICS OF FIRE ON MOISTURE LEVELS IN ALTERNATIVE FUELS**

Martin KULICH<sup>1</sup>

#### **Research article**



# **Introduction**

This research and the presented results on this topic are directly related to the long-term cooperation between VVUU (specifically the Risk Analysis Division and Accredited Testing Laboratory No. 1025) and ČEZ Group in the area of research and development related to the risks of fire and explosion associated with alternative and mixed fuels that are suitable for use in conventional power plants in the ČEZ group.

This project, "fire-safety aspects of the use of alternative fuels" funded by ČEZ group a. s., can be divided into two parts, from the perspective of the tested fuel samples, namely:

- Alternative fuels based on biomass,
- Alternative fuels based on RDF.

Within the scope of this research, we will also deal with some solutions in the area of biomass (BM).

# **Materials and methods**

During selection of fuels for the purpose of the project many requirements and selection criteria were considered to which the samples had to conform (operational-technological, environmental, financial, legislative, etc.). Based on the identification of the above-mentioned factors, each sample was passed through a selection process. These specific samples were selected for specific operating conditions and tested for various technical parameters of fire and combustion characteristics (TPFC).

The analyses aim at clarifying the behaviour of BM samples at different moisture levels that may actually occur in real-world conditions.

In general, it can be said that increasing the moisture content of the sample reduces the susceptibility to fire/explosion (Štroch, 2010).

## *Specifi cations of the test set of BM*

Below are the specifications of samples that were selected for analysis, including the specifications of test conditions under which the TPFC analyses were performed:



<sup>1</sup> Scientific-Research Coal Institute (VVUÚ a.s.), Ostrava, Czech Republic, kulichm@vvuu.cz







Fig. 2 BM2 - Pellets from purpose grown plants/dust

Fig. 1 BM1 - Wood chips/ dust



Fig. 3 BM3 - Sunflower plant pellets (husk and seeds)/dust



Fig. 5 BM5 - Briquettes/ dust



Fig. 4 BM4 - Grass pellets/dust



Fig. 6 BM6 - Palm Husks/ ground + Black Coal/dust

Dust is defined [EN 14034-1:2004+A1:2011] as a collection of small solid particles in the air that settle under their own weight, but can remain dispersed in the air for some time. (average size of the dust below 500 micron).

## *Specifi cations of the analysis of TPFC*

To ensure the necessary accuracy of the results, all analyses were performed by Testing Laboratory No. 1025 VVUU accredited by the Czech Accreditation Institute (CAI), according to the harmonized European normative test code (EN, 1999; EN, 2011; EN, 2008; EN, 1998).<sup>1</sup>

The specifications of the TPFC analysis, together with the indicated regulation norms that define the test procedure and defined specifications of the selected tests from the perspective of operational experience and practical solutions for the prevention of explosions during operation in industrial plants are shown in Tab. 1.

# **Results**

During the course of the project, a wide range of results characterizing the parameter changes in TPFC for BM when moisture content is changed was observed. For the purposes of this contribution, the conclusions of the sample values of TPFC and the important comparisons of the selected samples that take place are, concretely:

- Ignition temperature of dust clouds for part of the samples of BM - Fig. 7,
- Induction period for part of the samples of BM Fig. 8,
- The speed of the spread of fire upon a sample layer of settled dust for part of the samples of BM - Fig. 9.

### **Setting and verification of the moisture level**

The sample was dried in a drying oven at 103  $\pm$  2 [ $^{\circ}$ C] to a constant weight. Drying was verified through basic chemical analysis. On the basis of the results, the estimated amount of water was added and the target moisture level of the sample was verified using basic chemical analysis.

## *Summarization and comparison of the observed values of TPFC*

In Fig. 7, 8 and 9 is a summarization and comparison of the observed results.

<sup>1</sup> Test procedure from the requirement ČSN 015140-3 that was eliminated 1. 2. 2003 without a replacement (ČSN, 1985; Damec and Šimandl, 2005).



### Tab. 1 TPFC analysis/norms/comments



Fig. 7 Ignition temperature of dust clouds

DOI 10.2478/tvsbses-2014-0004



Fig. 8 The Cubic Constant,  $K_{\rm st}$ 



Fig. 9 The rate of the spread of fire on a layer of dust

## **Conclusion**

Before drawing conclusions from the analysis of TPFC carried out within the project, it is necessary to point out some important facts.

In order to comply with the requirements of the test procedures (thus, among other things, ensuring the reproducibility of individual tests) it is often necessary to test samples before the start of the analyses to adjust to the condition, which is required by the individual test procedures and methodologies.

One of the essential steps in the process of preparing samples for the analysis of TPFC itself is often to modify the characteristics of the grounds, drying, etc., so that the tested samples were observed at the most critical (most dangerous) values for each factor of TPFC. Knowledge of the "maximum" value is particularly important in the design and implementation of industrial explosion protection.

The values TPFC and the resulting conclusions apply only to the tested set of fuels. Based on the results of the tests performed it is clear that it confirms the assumption that with increasing moisture content of the test sample the BM, there is a decrease in the susceptibility of samples to ignite and initiate in general (increasing ignition temperature when settled and when in the form of dust clouds). It also shows that a higher percentage of water content results in the need for higher dust concentrations for the formation of explosive atmospheres (higher /lower explosion limit) and significantly reduces the intensity of an explosion event (which gives us values such as  $p_{max}$ , brisance,  $K_{St}$ ).

This behaviour can be derived not only on the sample BM1 but it can be safe to assume that at a water content of  $> 40$  %, the fuel already displays this characteristic and does not exhibit explosive properties - it can then be concluded that this will be the case in response to varying moisture levels of the samples when these conditions occur in real industrial environments.

Another important finding is that all the tested samples in all test conditions (i.e. different humidity levels) exhibited susceptibility to spontaneous

combustion, which is essential information especially in terms of their long-term storage in large piles. The result of the susceptibility of the test set of alternative fuels to auto-ignite corresponds with what is currently known on this issue (Balog, 1999).

The burning of alternative fuels, and their co-incineration in equipment that was originally designed for the combustion of classic fuels, is not, especially in energy higher levels, anything unusual. Alternative fuels have specific characteristics which, in turn can cause security risks in the various stages of storage, transportation, treatment and combustion itself.

In the cases of incineration, co-incineration and a modified fuel base, it is always needed to analyze and evaluate the risks, and not only in terms of explosion prevention. In the area of explosion prevention the basic regulation Government Regulation No. 406/2004 Coll. (Government Regulation, 2004), which in § 4 point d) imposes on the operators the requirement for the processing and maintenance of written documentation of explosion prevention. This regulation can be understood such as rule, by means of which the Czech Republic is implementing the requirements of the European Parliament and Council Directive 92/99/EC (Directive, 1999) (often known under the name of ATEX 137).

One of the pillars of the risk analysis of any technology is thorough knowledge of the material properties - in the form of fire and explosion characteristics that will occur in the technology (in this case, the fuel or fuel mix). The requirement for technical knowledge of fire and explosion characteristics of processed and stored materials is also defined by the Decree of the Ministry of Interior No. 246/2001 Coll (Decree, 2001).

Finally, proper risk analysis must always be clearly and unequivocally based on whether the technology can be considered safe for its operation with a new fuel base, and/or what technical or organizational measures must be taken to do so.

Quality and comprehensive risk analysis and the application of technical and organizational measure that are defined in it, in the past, has been proven many times to go beyond the protection, safety and health of workers at the workplace as well as saving much in the form of the value of equipment and downtime.

# **References**

- BALOG, Karol (1999). *Auto-ignition: self heating, ignition, fire*. 1st ed. Ostrava: Association of Fire and Safety Engineering. (in Slovak)
- ČSN 015140:1985. Cobustible dusts. Methods of testing. Determination of the rate of flame propagation on deposited dust layer. Praha: Úřad pro normalizaci a měření. (in Czech)
- DAMEC, Jaroslav and Ladislav ŠIMANDL (2005). *Laboratory Practice explosion prevention technology processes*. Ostrava: Association of Fire and Safety Engineering. ISBN 80-86634-57-4. (in Czech)
- Decree (2001). Establishing the conditions for fire safety and state fire supervision (Fire Prevention). In: Journal of Laws of 2001, No. 95.
- Directive (1999). Directive of the European Parliament and Council Directive 1999/92/EC of 16 December 1999 ominimálních requirements for improving the safety and health protection of workers potentially at risk from explosive atmospheres (also called ATEX 137). In: Official Journal of the European Union.
- EN 50281-1-1:1999. Electrical apparatus for use in the presence of combustible dust Part 2-1: Test methods - Methods for determining the minimum ignitron temperatures of dust. Praha: Český normalizační institut. (in Czech)
- EN 14034-1+A1:2011. Determination of explosion charakteristics of dust clouds Part 1: Determination of the maximum explosion pressure pmax of dust clouds. Praha: Úřad pro technickou normalizaci, metrologii a státní zkušebnictví. (in Czech)
- EN 14034-2+A1:2011. Determination of explosion charakteristics of dust clouds Part 2: Determination of the maximum rate of explosion pressure rise (dp/dt)max of dust clouds. Praha: Úřad pro technickou normalizaci, metrologii a státní zkušebnictví. (in Czech)
- EN 14034-3+A1:2011. Determination of explosion charakteristics of dust clouds Part 3: Determination of the lower explosion limit LEL of dust clouds. Praha: Úřad pro technickou normalizaci, metrologii a státní zkušebnictví. (in Czech)
- EN 14034-4+A1:2011. Determination of explosion charakteristics of dust clouds Part 4: Determination of the limiting oxygen concentration LOC of dust clouds. Praha: Úřad pro technickou normalizaci, metrologii a státní zkušebnictví. (in Czech)
- EN 15188:2008. Determination of the spontaneous ignitron behaviour of dust accumulations. Praha: Český normalizační institut. (in Czech)
- EN 15357:2011. Solid recovered fuels Terminology, definitons and descriptions. Praha: Úřad pro technickou normalizaci, metrologii a státní zkušebnictví. (in Czech)
- EN 15395:2012. Solid recovered fuels Specifications and classes. Praha: Úřad pro technickou normalizaci, metrologii a státní zkušebnictví. (in Czech)
- Government Regulation (2004). The detailed requirements for ensuring safety and health at work in potentially explosive atmospheres. In: Journal of Laws, 2004, No. 131. (in Czech)
- Government Regulation (2006). Government Regulation No. 393/2006 Coll on the minimum safety and health at work in explosive environments. In: Journal of Laws č.393/2006, amounts 140. 2006.
- IEC 1241-2-3:1998. Electrical apparatus for use in the presence of cobustible dust Part 2: test methods Section 3: Methods for determining minimum ignitron energy of dust/air mixture. Praha: Český normalizační institut. (in Czech)
- KULICH, Martin (2012). Application of alternative and mixed fuels in line with the guiding principles to ensure safety explosion in conventional energy. In: KULICH, Martin. *Fire Protection 2012*: Proceedings of the 2012 XXI of lectures. annual international conference. Ostrava: Association of Fire and Safety Engineering. (in Czech)
- ŠTROCH, Petr (2010). *Processes of fire and explosion*. Žilina: EDIS Publishing University of Žilina. ISBN 978-80-554-0187-4. (in Czech)